C.S.100

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 549 /2006

PETITIONER: A.K. Dubey, son of Late Shri S.R. Dubey, aged 52 years, resident of Bodhghat Colony, Jagdalpur (C.G.).

Vs

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through; the Secretary, Department of Panchayat & Rural Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur.
2. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Jagdalpur.
3. The Director, Department of School Education, Raipur.

P.R. No. 543/06 Presented by the Advocate dated 31/1/06

26/1/06

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 & 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION, CERTIORARI ETC.OR ANY OTHER DIRECTION OR ORDER TO DO JUSTICE IN THE MATTER.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

42

मामला क्रमांक WP 549/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	2-2-2006 Shri B.P. Sharma, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. G.A., for the State. Heard. By the instant petition, the petitioner has challenged the order declaring the services of the Supervisors including the petitioner surplus and absorption of their services with the Department of Panchayat & Social Welfare as Teacher in the Department of School Education. Counsel for the petitioner submits that in similar case bearing W.P. No.3929/03 involving the same question orders have been passed by this Court on 25/10/2004. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the above fact. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents alongwith a copy of the petition and this order within ten days and on such representation being filed the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not been relieved so far. He prays for interim protection till the representation is decided. The petitioner is directed to be allowed to continue on the present place of posting till the representation is decided. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No.419/2006 also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

देखिये

3.2.06